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Chapter 1

Introduction

Diagnostic testing of digital electronic circuits requires an array of tools, each one with its own purpose and its own limitations. This module introduces the reader to a range of tools from the simple to the sophisticated.

Important concepts related to digital circuit diagnosis include opens versus shorts, logic voltage levels, four-wire resistance measurement, high versus low logic states, serial data, time-domain signal measurement, eye diagrams, soldered connections, and Design For Testing.

Here are some good questions to ask of yourself while studying this subject:

- How do DC voltage values relate to digital logic states for different types of digital circuits?
- What does a logic probe do that a multimeter cannot?
- What does a multimeter do that a logic probe cannot?
- How does 4-wire resistance measurement work?
- How are logic pulsers used in conjunction with logic probes?
- How do ohmmeters function to sense the resistance of external devices?
- Why is resistance measurement a useful tool for discerning the integrity of electrical connections on a printed circuit board?
- What special features are added to oscilloscopes to make them more useful for digital signal testing?
- What does a logic analyzer do that an oscilloscope cannot?
- What does an oscilloscope do that a logic analyzer cannot?
- What does “persistence” mode do in a digital oscilloscope, and why is this useful?
- What is a “digital phosphor” oscilloscope, and what is it used for?
• What types of manufacturing defects are observable using an X-ray camera system?
• What is the basic principle of JTAG, and how does it make board testing more efficient?
• What purposes may JTAG be used for other than PCB fault diagnosis?
Chapter 2

Tutorial
2.1 Multimeters

A basic multimeter set to the DC voltage-sensing function will suffice as a logic-level indicator, connecting the black lead of the meter to the digital circuit’s ground terminal and using the red lead to probe test points. The “high” or “low” logic state of the voltage signal may then be interpreted according to the minimum and maximum values specified for the particular logic “family”. High/low threshold values for standard TTL and CMOS operating on a 5 Volt supply voltage are shown here:

### 5-Volt TTL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Logic state</th>
<th>Guaranteed output</th>
<th>Acceptable input</th>
<th>Noise margin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>$V_{OH} = 2.4 \text{ Volts min.}$</td>
<td>$V_{IH} = 2.0 \text{ Volts min.}$</td>
<td>$2.4 - 2.0 = 0.4 \text{ Volts}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$V_{OL} = 0.4 \text{ Volts max.}$</td>
<td>$V_{IL} = 0.8 \text{ Volts max.}$</td>
<td>$0.8 - 0.4 = 0.4 \text{ Volts}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5-Volt CMOS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Logic state</th>
<th>Guaranteed output</th>
<th>Acceptable input</th>
<th>Noise margin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>$V_{OH} = 4.44 \text{ Volts min.}$</td>
<td>$V_{IH} = 3.5 \text{ Volts min.}$</td>
<td>$4.44 - 3.5 = 0.94 \text{ Volts}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$V_{OL} = 0.5 \text{ Volts max.}$</td>
<td>$V_{IL} = 1.5 \text{ Volts max.}$</td>
<td>$1.5 - 0.5 = 1.0 \text{ Volt}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When interpreting DC voltage levels as logic states, one must use the acceptable input threshold values ($V_{IH}$ and $V_{IL}$) to determine how the signal will be interpreted by any receiving logic gates.

Another multimeter function useful for digital circuit diagnosis is resistance measurement, to locate “open” or “shorted” faults interfering with digital signals. An “open” fault, of course, will register as an abnormally high resistance while a “shorted” fault will register as an abnormally low resistance. Such measurements, like all resistance checks, must be performed with the circuit de-energized so as to not interfere with the multimeter’s internal source.
2.1. MULTIMETERS

The following photograph shows an electronics technician using an ohmmeter to check continuity between two copper traces on a printed circuit board (PCB), looking for a “shorted” fault between those traces:

During this particular troubleshooting session, the meter was being used to pinpoint the precise location of the shorted fault in order to determine exactly what sort of manufacturing defect caused it. In order to do this, the technician used special meter probes with two test leads each, with the multimeter set for *four-wire* resistance measurement. This is known as the *Kelvin four-wire technique*, which uses two of the wires to conduct a small excitation current (from a current source inside the multimeter) and the other two wires to sense voltage drop across the specimen being measured:

\[
R_{\text{specimen}} = \frac{\text{Volmeter indication}}{\text{Current source}}
\]

The advantage of this four-wire technique is that test lead resistance becomes irrelevant, allowing the user to make highly precise measurements of resistance free from errors caused by the natural
resistance within the meter’s test leads. This enables measurement of extremely small\(^1\) resistance values. In this case that sensitivity was useful to determine \textit{where} along the PCB the two traces were shorted together, as multiple resistance measurements could be taken at different pairs of points on the PCB: the pair with the lowest resistance measurement must be closest to the shorted fault.

\(^1\)In this particular troubleshooting session the measured resistance of the fault was a fraction of an Ohm, about 0.2 \(\Omega\).
2.2 Logic probes and pulsers

Multimeters are not intended for the measurement of rapidly pulsing signals, and so are quite limited as diagnostic tools for most digital logic circuits. An alternative to the voltmeter is a device called a logic probe, designed to reveal the high/low state of a digital signal by means of two LEDs rather than a numerical display of DC voltage. Signals rapidly oscillating between “high” and “low” states will illuminate both LEDs, making the presence of pulsations evident where they may not be so using a voltmeter.

A photograph\(^2\) of a logic probe appears below, revealing a metal-tipped probe for sensing the logic state of a terminal, plus red and black alligator clips designed to connect to the circuit under test for powering the probe’s internal circuitry and providing a ground reference for the sensed logic signal:

![Logic Probe](image)

Like many logic probes, the model shown in the photograph offers colored LEDs representing “high” and “low” logic states, as well as audio indication in the form of a beeper. The MEM/PULSE selector switch shows real-time logic states in the “PULSE” position but enables a latch in the “MEM” position to capture and indicate short-duration pulses that would otherwise be too transient to see. Such a simple tool, lacking the sophistication of a multimeter, nevertheless offers diagnostic capability most multimeters would be unable to provide.

\(^2\)This photo is courtesy of Plusea who posted it to the photo-sharing website Flickr under the Creative Commons Attribution-only license (version 2).
Logic probes, like multimeters, are passive-only test instruments. That is, they are able to measure and display logic states, but they cannot alter logic states within a circuit. The “active” equivalent of a logic probe is the logic pulser which closely resembles a logic probe in appearance: typically a hand-held “pencil” shaped device with a metal probe and two clips for power. Logic pulsers work by asserting either a “high” or “low” output state at their probe tip with current sourcing/sinking capability great enough to “override” the output of a logic gate to force the logic state to the desired level. In other words, a logic pulser over-powers the output transistor stage of a logic gate to assert the desired voltage level in order to make any receiving gates connected to that same line respond.

Normally it is considered a bad thing to have two or more digital output devices assert conflicting logic states onto the same line, as it results in those devices “fighting” each other, one sinking current and the other sourcing current, with the possibility of one or more of them overheating and sustaining internal damage. Logic pulsers avoid this danger by making their over-riding pulse signals very brief. By limiting the time duration of the over-powering pulse to several microseconds, the pulser will not over-drive the output of any competing device long enough to cause thermal damage.
2.3 Breakout boxes

A special-purpose diagnostic tool called a breakout box functions as a logic probe designed for use with serial data networks, particularly EIA/TIA-232. Sets of multi-color LEDs indicate “mark” and “space” voltage levels on the data and control lines, enabling one to view the presence of transmitted data as well as hardware handshaking states:

The breakout box shown in this photograph is equipped with male and female 25-pin EIA/TIA-232 connectors, to be inserted in-line with a data cable plugging into a device’s serial port. Small switches permit “straight-through” connections from male to female when closed, and when opened those connections are broken. However, female headers positioned along each side of each switch assembly provide means to make custom connections using “jumper” wires. For example, if you wanted to use a breakout box to form a “null modem” connection where transmit and receive lines are swapped, you would open the two switches connecting TD to TD and RD to RD, then use jumper wires to connect TD to RD and RD to TD. A “Trap” indicator serves to latch transient states, allowing you to see when a momentary pulse occurs that is too brief to view on a real-time LED indicator.
2.4 Oscilloscopes

An oscilloscope is simply a graphical voltmeter displaying voltage amplitude on the vertical axis of a two-dimensional display and time on the horizontal axis.

Digital phosphor oscilloscopes are special variations of digital oscilloscopes designed to mimic the behavior of old analog CRT-based oscilloscopes where the relative brightness of the trace represented its frequency of re-occurrence, useful when measuring a repetitive pulse signal to see if successive pulses drift either in amplitude, timing, or both. Legacy analog oscilloscopes with phosphor-based luminescent displays would naturally show a brighter trace where the waveform retraces the same path multiple times, and show a dimmer trace where the waveform only occasionally follows that path. Digital phosphor oscilloscopes mimic that legacy functionality and actually do a step further by colorizing the frequency of re-occurrence so that the most frequently retraced paths show as a completely different color compared to seldom-traced paths.
This colorized-occurrence feature is so popular that even some entry-level digital oscilloscopes now offer it. An example is this screenshot from a Picoscope model 2204A where the colorizing function is called persistence mode. Red indicates a frequently-traced path, while blue indicates a seldom-traced path. The following screenshot was generated using this model of oscilloscope set to persistence mode, measuring a square wave with a shifting frequency. Note how the waveform is crisp and red near the center of the screen where the triggering cursor is located, but shows more blue regions in the rising and falling edges (shifting position from the trigger point due to the waveform’s period changing from sweep to sweep):

![Persistence Mode Screenshot]

Digital signals in high-speed circuits appear as pulse sequences of high (1) and low (0) states when displayed in the time domain. An alternating sequence of 1 and 0 states would be a simple square wave, but real digital data typically doesn’t repeat such simple patterns over and over. Instead, the pattern of high and low states often appears random without any knowledge or context of what those pulses represent in the system at the time of measurement. As such, an oscilloscope configured to repeatedly display a serial digital pulse stream will show something that looks like this:

![Rear Pulse Stream Screenshot]

These are clearly square (or trapezoidal) wave pulses that overlap enough times so that only the high-to-low and low-to-high edges are distinct. At first, this sort of display looks useless because
we really cannot discern individual high or low logic states due to the overlapping of repeated traces. However, when displayed on a digital phosphor oscilloscope, variations in amplitude and timing create what is commonly known as an *eye diagram* which is a good visual representation of uncertainty and therefore of signal integrity. The following image of a greyscale digital phosphor oscillograph was taken from Martin Miller’s patent “Noise Analysis To Reveal Jitter And Crosstalk’s Effect On Signal Integrity”\(^3\) as part of his explanation of “prior art” (i.e. conventional state-of-the-art) technology for signal integrity analysis:

![Eye diagram image](image)

As with any oscillograph of a digital pulse signal, we can easily identify such imperfections as ringing, overshoot, undershoot, settling time, rise time, and fall time from this image. The added benefit granted by the digital phosphor technology is being able to discern the degree of variation in amplitude and time for successive pulses. For example, the labels 3 and 4 in Miller’s image show the highest and lowest amplitude points captured for the “high” portion of the pulse signal over a collection of 5 million sampled pulses, representing a peak-to-peak variance of more than one division on the oscilloscope’s vertical axis. Similarly, one could quantify the amount of jitter in this signal stream by measuring the *width* of any rising or falling edge. Identifying the amplitudes and times of these “outlier” signals allows the user to determine worst-case limits for signal integrity in this system\(^4\). The larger the area inside the “eye” (the “hole”), the greater the signal’s integrity.

---

\(^3\)Miller, Martin T. *US Patent 9,843,402*, “Noise Analysis To Reveal Jitter And Crosstalk’s Effect On Signal Integrity”, application 4 October 2016, patent granted 12 December 2017. This particular eye diagram results from 5 million overlaid pulses, the re-occurrence frequency represented by the density of the grey pixels.

\(^4\)A helpful analogy is to picture a city intersection on a snowy day. As vehicles navigate through the intersection, their tires leave tracks in the snow which remain long after each vehicle passes through. After much traffic has passed through the intersection, the culmination of all tracks indicate where most vehicles have driven as well as how far from center-lane the farthest outlying vehicle path was.
2.5 Logic analyzers

A \textit{logic analyzer} is to an oscilloscope what a logic probe is to a voltmeter: an instrument designed to show voltage levels as digital logic states rather than as analog signals. Since each channel of a logic analyzer need only show “high” or “low” rather than precisely resolve the sensed voltage as an analog measurement, it is a simpler instrument to manufacture. This permits logic analyzers to be built with a great many input channels, many more than what is common with an oscilloscope.

A photograph of a legacy Hewlett-Packard logic analyzer appears in this next photograph:

Like an oscilloscope, a logic analyzer displays signals as graphs in the time domain.

\textit{Mixed-signal oscilloscopes} blend the capabilities of standard oscilloscopes with logic analyzers, allowing the user to examine digital signals two different ways simultaneously on the same screen. As with logic analyzers, the number of digital input channels is usually quite large, in order to facilitate simultaneous monitoring of multiple bit-lines within a parallel digital bus. Triggering is usually available on either analog or digital channels, allowing the user to synchronize the oscilloscope’s display to any arbitrary reference waveform being measured.
2.6 Assembled board testing

Electronics manufacturers must be able to quickly test their finished printed circuit boards (PCBs) in order to check for defects at various stages of the manufacturing process, but especially prior to device packaging and eventual sale to customers.

Modern digital circuits constructed on PCBs are often so densely-populated by integrated circuits (ICs, or “chips”) that simple visual inspection cannot be relied upon for locating manufacturing and assembly defects such as poor solder joints and misaligned terminals. This is especially true for ICs where the connection terminals lie on the bottom face of the chip and are hidden from view by the body of the integrated circuit itself. In such applications an effective means of inspecting such hidden details is to use an X-ray camera and source of X-ray radiation to see through the board and placed components. Such a machine is a valuable tool in a high-volume production environment where detection of manufacturing defects must be rapid.

An example of an X-ray camera’s view of a ball grid array (BGA) style of integrated circuit soldered to a PCB appears below:

Each dark-grey dot represents a soldered junction between a component terminal and a copper “pad” on the PCB. Certain types of solder defects are evident as non-uniform coloring at the affected dot.
With the appropriate power setting on the X-ray tube, it is possible to “look inside” individual integrated circuits to see the wires connecting the pins to the silicon die inside the chip. An example of this is shown here, where we may see the internal wiring of a DIP (dual-inline package) integrated circuit:

The silicon die for this particular IC is invisible to the X-ray camera at this power setting, but we may see the fine metal wires terminate around its periphery, each of those fine wires connecting to a wider metal trace inside the IC, each trace leading to one of the pins on either side (top or bottom edge) of the chip’s exterior.

An advanced feature of some X-ray machines is to render shades of gray as a simulated three-dimensional (and colorized) map, where both color and “height” represent intensity on the grayscale. The following example shows soldered connections on a BGA chip, each solder ball appearing as a red-colored “mesa” on a simulated “landscape” of rendered grayscale image:
As useful as visual and X-ray inspection may be for locating manufacturing defects, there is still a need to electrically test assembled PCBs because some faults cannot be seen. Manually testing densely-populated PCBs for a wide range of possible faults is impractical, and so automated tests exist for this purpose. One such testing method is to fabricate a special board equipped with pointed-tip metal probes designed to simultaneously contact important test points on the assembled PCB, those probes connecting to wires which in turn terminate at some electronic device built to inject test signals and measure responses from the PCB. This is colloquially referred to as a \textit{bed-of-nails} test, referencing the appearance of the metal probes.

A more modern and sophisticated variant of the “bed of nails” test fixture is something called a \textit{flying probe}. This uses robotically-controlled actuators to move one or more probes to different test points on the PCB, injecting and/or measuring signals at those points according to a programmed sequence. The high speed of modern robotic actuators accounts for the “flying” label, and they are impressive to watch in action.
2.7 JTAG

Bed-of-nails and flying probe tests are both electrically-based tests designed to ensure the integrity of soldered connections between components and copper traces on a PCB. These legacy techniques, however, are less useful when the spacing between adjacent pins on ICs is very small because it becomes more difficult to accurately place the probe tips. They are completely useless for ICs such as the Ball Grid Array (BGA) type where most of the IC terminals are sandwiched between the chip and the PCB rather than being positioned around the periphery.

In answer to this challenge, an industry group calling itself the Joint Test Action Group formed in the mid-1980’s with the purpose of developing a standardized method for testing PCB connections where IC terminals were either too closely-spaced or entirely inaccessible for contact with metal probes. Their work culminated in an IEEE standard (1149.1) in the year 1990, commonly referred to in the industry as JTAG. This standard developed a means by which diagnostic capability could be built into such ICs so that the chips themselves could serve as the testing fixture.

JTAG is part of a larger design philosophy known as Design For Test (DFT)\(^5\) which simply means that the electronic product and/or its constituent components are designed to facilitate convenient testing, not merely to fulfill their essential functions. A very simple example of “design for test” is to intentionally place exposed test points on a PCB enabling technicians to easily make contact with multimeter and/or oscilloscope probes, in contrast to a board design lacking such test points which would require extra work to reliably attach test probes. JTAG extends this concept to the pins within digital ICs, building-in testing capability to the IC itself so that the final assembly may be more easily validated and diagnosed.

The basic concept of JTAG-compliant ICs is that these digital devices are built with extra circuitry called boundary scan cells positioned between the IC’s external pins and the functional circuitry of the device. Each cell is able to transparently pass digital data between its respective pin and the digital logic, which is its normal mode of operation, or it has the ability to read or write data to be passed along to other boundary scan cells in serial shift-register fashion for analysis outside of the circuit board.

\(^5\)This is closely related to a concept I like to call Design For Maintenance which is where a product is designed to be easily maintained and repaired by the eventual owner or service personnel. Anyone who had had to do major mechanical work to a modern automobile is likely familiar with designs that were not made with maintenance in mind!
To illustrate, we will examine a simplified diagram of a Field-Programmable Gate Array (FPGA)\(^6\) chip with this additional JTAG circuitry shown. Each input/output (I/O) pin of the FPGA chip has a boundary scan cell (BSC) between it and the FPGA. All of this circuitry, of course, resides on the same wafer of silicon inside the IC, but is shown separately here in order to represent its function:

\[\text{Field-Programmable Gate Array equipped with JTAG capability}\]

A set of dedicated pins on the IC serve as the JTAG interface. This is called the Test Access Port (TAP) by the IEEE 1149.1 standard, and consists of four mandatory pins and one optional pin for controlling the boundary scan cells and intercepting pin data. These TAP pins connect to an external testing device which will generate the necessary signals to activate the JTAG functions.

- **TDI** = Test Data In
- **TDO** = Test Data Out
- **TCK** = Test Clock
- **TMS** = Test Mode Select
- **TRST** = Test Reset (optional)

When performing a boundary scan, for example, serial data output by a testing device enters the JTAG TDI pin and is clocked through the device one boundary scan cell at a time in step with the test clock signal (TCK) which also comes from the testing device. Eventually these serial bits return to the test device through the TDO pin.

\(^6\)FPGAs are configurable logic arrays capable of implementing arbitrary logic functions. They are useful in prototype designs where digital logic may need to be easily modified, and they also find use in systems where a large amount of custom logic must be located in a small area on the PCB. JTAG, of course, is applicable to any digital logic function, but FPGAs were chosen for this example for their arbitrary nature.
The purpose of a boundary scan test is to ensure proper connections between the JTAG-capable IC and other components on the PCB its data lines connect to. Therefore, to do a proper boundary scan test, we need multiple JTAG-capable components on the board connecting to each other. For example, consider the following pair of interconnected FPGA devices:

![Diagram of two FPGAs connected via JTAG boundary scan]

As you can see, the TDO pin of the left IC connects to the TDI pin of the right IC, so that the two form a long shift register “chain” for JTAG testing data. As this test data steps through all the boundary scan cells, it causes the respective output pins on the devices to output the bits given to them, and causes the respective input pins to receive the data and write it to the serial data stream. Therefore, at the end of the test some of the bits in the data stream received at the board’s TDO pin will represent data conveyed from one device to the other over traces on the PCB connecting those two devices together. If those data bits fail to match the sequence predicted by the testing device, it means a connection problem exists on the PCB and it therefore fails the test.

A single “header” connector located on the PCB provides convenient access for the JTAG testing device to connect to the board, to inject the serial test data stream, to read the received bit states from the “scan chain” formed by those two devices, and also to control the JTAG testing sequence (clock signal, mode signal, reset signal).
In the following photograph you see a PCB connected to a personal computer running JTAG testing software, the interface being a USB-based scanning tool that connects to the board’s JTAG header through a ribbon-style cable. A red and black wire pair provide DC power to energize the board's components:

Not only is JTAG useful for performing boundary scan tests between interconnected ICs, but its ability to read and to force data bits on individual device pins also makes JTAG a powerful “debugging” tool to monitor the logic states inside digital components, as well as a viable means of programming writable digital devices such as microcontrollers with on-board Flash memory.

Some manufacturers have opted to incorporate JTAG technology into products lacking the necessary number of pins to provide the standard TAP interface (TDI, TDO, TCK, and TMS), by adding serial data converters between an interface using fewer than four pins and an internal TAP. An example of this is found in some of the models of Texas Instruments’ MSP430 microcontrollers, which use a two-terminal “Spi-Bi-Wire” (SBW) interface to encode four-wire JTAG signals.
Chapter 3

Derivations and Technical References

This chapter is where you will find mathematical derivations too detailed to include in the tutorial, and/or tables and other technical reference material.
3.1 TTL logic levels

Logic gates need to reliably communicate with each other, the voltage levels output by the “driving” gate being compatible with the input voltage levels of the “receiving” gate. These specifications are given as follows:

- \( V_{OH} \) = minimum voltage output by a gate in the “high” (1) state
- \( V_{OL} \) = maximum voltage output by a gate in the “low” (0) state
- \( V_{IH} \) = minimum voltage received by a gate to be interpreted as a “high” (1) state
- \( V_{IL} \) = maximum voltage received by a gate to be interpreted as a “low” (0) state

Classic “LS” family of TTL gate circuits using bipolar transistors operated on a 5 Volt DC power supply, outputting at least 2.4 Volts in the “high” state and 0.4 Volts in the “low” state. The same logic family would accept any input voltage signal greater than 2.0 Volts as a “high” and less than 0.8 Volts as a “low”. The amount of noise margin is the difference between the guaranteed output voltage levels and the acceptable input voltage levels. Represented in table form for 5-Volt TTL gates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Logic state</th>
<th>Guaranteed output</th>
<th>Acceptable input</th>
<th>Noise margin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>( V_{OH} ) = 2.4 Volts min.</td>
<td>( V_{IH} ) = 2.0 Volts min.</td>
<td>2.4 − 2.0 = 0.4 Volts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>( V_{OL} ) = 0.4 Volts max.</td>
<td>( V_{IL} ) = 0.8 Volts max.</td>
<td>0.8 − 0.4 = 0.4 Volts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If an ordinary digital logic gate receives an input voltage signal lying somewhere between the threshold values of \( V_{IH} \) and \( V_{IL} \), its output state will be unpredictable. The gate may “assume” either a high or a low state, or worse yet may try to process that signal in an analog fashion, generating an output voltage level below \( V_{OH} \) and above \( V_{OL} \), thus propagating the problem to the next logic gate(s). For this reason it is very important to design logic circuits to avoid voltage levels below \( V_{IH} \) and above \( V_{IL} \).
3.2 CMOS logic levels

Logic gates need to reliably communicate with each other, the voltage levels output by the “driving” gate being compatible with the input voltage levels of the “receiving” gate. These specifications are given as follows:

- $V_{OH} = \text{minimum voltage output by a gate in the “high” (1) state}$
- $V_{OL} = \text{maximum voltage output by a gate in the “low” (0) state}$
- $V_{IH} = \text{minimum voltage received by a gate to be interpreted as a “high” (1) state}$
- $V_{IL} = \text{maximum voltage received by a gate to be interpreted as a “low” (0) state}$

Classic “CD” family of CMOS gate circuits using complementary MOSFET transistors operating on a 5 Volt DC power supply has much wider greater noise margins than “LS” series TTL, outputting at least 4.44 Volts in the “high” state and 0.5 Volts in the “low” state, while accepting any input voltage signal greater than 3.5 Volts as a “high” and less than 1.5 Volts as a “low”. Represented in table form for 5-Volt CMOS gates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Logic state</th>
<th>Guaranteed output</th>
<th>Acceptable input</th>
<th>Noise margin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>$V_{OH} = 4.44 \text{ Volts min.}$</td>
<td>$V_{IH} = 3.5 \text{ Volts min.}$</td>
<td>4.44 − 3.5 = 0.94 Volts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$V_{OL} = 0.5 \text{ Volts max.}$</td>
<td>$V_{IL} = 1.5 \text{ Volts max.}$</td>
<td>1.5 − 0.5 = 1.0 Volt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CD-family CMOS logic was not limited to a 5 Volt DC power supply as was the LS (TTL) bipolar family of logic gates. Typical DC power supply limits ranged from 3 Volts to 18 Volts, with acceptable input voltage levels varying as a function of power supply voltage. Output voltage levels for a CD-family logic gate also followed power supply voltage, typically within 0.5 Volts of the power supply rails.

If an ordinary digital logic gate receives an input voltage signal lying somewhere between the threshold values of $V_{IH}$ and $V_{IL}$, its output state will be unpredictable. The gate may “assume” either a high or a low state, or worse yet may try to process that signal in an analog fashion, generating an output voltage level below $V_{OH}$ and above $V_{OL}$, thus propagating the problem to the next logic gate(s). For this reason it is very important to design logic circuits to avoid voltage levels below $V_{IH}$ and above $V_{IL}$. 
3.3 Digital pulse criteria

Clock-synchronized digital logic circuits such as counters, shift registers, and microprocessors require their input signals to be at stable states immediately before and immediately after the clock pulse arrives. For example, the following timing diagram shows input and output states for a D-type flip-flop circuit (positive-edge triggered), showing the effects of some signal timing violations:

Datasheets for digital circuits often provide timing diagrams showing criteria related to pulse signal timing and logic states. These diagrams don’t typically show ideal square-edged pulses, but rather trapezoidal pulse profiles intended to exaggerate realistic features such as rise and fall times, propagation delays, and minimum set-up/hold times. Such diagrams usually confuse students who are accustomed to seeing square-edged pulses in their textbook timing diagrams. This technical reference will show some typical timing diagrams and explain what they represent.
3.3. DIGITAL PULSE CRITERIA

For example, consider this timing diagram for a positive-edge-triggered JK flip-flop having both its J and K inputs tied high so as to maintain the circuit in its “toggle” mode. As such we would expect its output (Q) to change state with every rising edge of the clock pulse:

Each of the labels found in this diagram is defined as follows:

- $t_{\text{rise}} =$ Rise time of input signal, typically measured from 10% of signal amplitude to 90% of signal amplitude
- $t_{\text{fall}} =$ Fall time of input signal, typically measured from 90% of signal amplitude to 10% of signal amplitude
- $t_{\text{THL}} =$ Low-to-High transition time of output signal, typically measured from 10% of signal amplitude to 90% of signal amplitude (the same concept as rise time, but applied to the output signal instead of the input signal)
- $t_{\text{THL}} =$ High-to-Low transition time of output signal, typically measured from 90% of signal amplitude to 10% of signal amplitude (the same concept as fall time, but applied to the output signal instead of the input signal)
- $t_{\text{PLH}} =$ Propagation delay time of output signal when switching from low to high
- $t_{\text{PHL}} =$ Propagation delay time of output signal when switching from high to low
- $V_S =$ Switching threshold voltage, typically defined as 50% of signal amplitude

This timing diagram shows how a digital logic circuit reacts to a single input signal, in this case the clock pulse. Although this example happens to be for a JK flip-flop in toggle mode, the same type of timing diagram with its exaggerated rise/fall times and propagation delays could be applied to any digital logic gate whose output state depended solely on the state of a single input.
For synchronous digital logic circuits where input signals must coordinate with the clock pulse signal in order to be properly accepted by the circuit, we typically find timing diagrams comparing these input states to each other, often without showing the output(s) at all. Instead of showing us how the digital logic circuit will react to an input signal, this sort of timing diagram shows what the digital logic circuit expects of its multiple input signals.

The example is shown here for a positive-edge-triggered D register\(^1\) having multiple data lines \((D_0 \text{ through } D_n)\), one asynchronous\(^2\) reset line \((RST)\), and one clock input. The arbitrary logic levels of the multiple data lines are shown as a pair of complementary-state pulse waveforms, the only relevant features being the timing of the data and not the particular voltage levels of the data signals:

![Timing Diagram](image)

Labels shown in this diagram refer to minimum time durations the logic circuit requires for reliable operation:

- \(t_{SU}\) = Minimum set-up time before the arrival of the next clock pulse
- \(t_H\) = Minimum hold time following the last clock pulse
- \(t_W\) = Minimum width (duration) of the asynchronous reset pulse
- \(t_{REM}\) = Minimum removal time before the arrival of the next clock pulse

Violations of any of these minimum times may result in unexpected behavior from the logic circuit, and is an all-too-common cause of spurious errors in high-speed digital circuit designs. The assessment of digital pulse signals with regard to reliable circuit operation is generally known as digital signal integrity.

---

\(^1\)In this case, a “D register” is synonymous with multiple D-type flip-flops sharing a common clock input, passing data through from each \(D\) input to each corresponding \(Q\) output synchronously with each clock pulse.

\(^2\)To review, a synchronous input depends on a clock pulse while an asynchronous input is able to affect the circuit independent of the clock pulse.
Chapter 4

Questions

This learning module, along with all others in the ModEL collection, is designed to be used in an inverted instructional environment where students independently read\(^1\) the tutorials and attempt to answer questions on their own prior to the instructor’s interaction with them. In place of lecture\(^2\), the instructor engages with students in Socratic-style dialogue, probing and challenging their understanding of the subject matter through inquiry.

Answers are not provided for questions within this chapter, and this is by design. Solved problems may be found in the Tutorial and Derivation chapters, instead. The goal here is independence, and this requires students to be challenged in ways where others cannot think for them. Remember that you always have the tools of experimentation and computer simulation (e.g. SPICE) to explore concepts!

The following lists contain ideas for Socratic-style questions and challenges. Upon inspection, one will notice a strong theme of metacognition within these statements: they are designed to foster a regular habit of examining one’s own thoughts as a means toward clearer thinking. As such these sample questions are useful both for instructor-led discussions as well as for self-study.

\(^1\)Technical reading is an essential academic skill for any technical practitioner to possess for the simple reason that the most comprehensive, accurate, and useful information to be found for developing technical competence is in textual form. Technical careers in general are characterized by the need for continuous learning to remain current with standards and technology, and therefore any technical practitioner who cannot read well is handicapped in their professional development. An excellent resource for educators on improving students’ reading prowess through intentional effort and strategy is the book *Reading for Understanding – How Reading Apprenticeship Improves Disciplinary Learning in Secondary and College Classrooms* by Ruth Schoenbach, Cynthia Greenleaf, and Lynn Murphy.

\(^2\)Lecture is popular as a teaching method because it is easy to implement: any reasonably articulate subject matter expert can talk to students, even with little preparation. However, it is also quite problematic. A good lecture always makes complicated concepts seem easier than they are, which is bad for students because it instills a false sense of confidence in their own understanding; reading and re-articulation requires more cognitive effort and serves to verify comprehension. A culture of teaching-by-lecture fosters a debilitating dependence upon direct personal instruction, whereas the challenges of modern life demand independent and critical thought made possible only by gathering information and perspectives from afar. Information presented in a lecture is ephemeral, easily lost to failures of memory and dictation; text is forever, and may be referenced at any time.
CHAPTER 4. QUESTIONS

GENERAL CHALLENGES FOLLOWING TUTORIAL READING

• **Summarize** as much of the text as you can in one paragraph of your own words. A helpful strategy is to explain ideas as you would for an intelligent child: as simple as you can without compromising too much accuracy.

• **Simplify** a particular section of the text, for example a paragraph or even a single sentence, so as to capture the same fundamental idea in fewer words.

• Where did the text **make the most sense** to you? What was it about the text’s presentation that made it clear?

• Identify where it might be easy for someone to **misunderstand the text**, and explain why you think it could be confusing.

• Identify any **new concept(s)** presented in the text, and explain in your own words.

• Identify any **familiar concept(s)** such as physical laws or principles applied or referenced in the text.

• Devise a **proof of concept** experiment demonstrating an important principle, physical law, or technical innovation represented in the text.

• Devise an experiment to **disprove** a plausible misconception.

• Did the text reveal any **misconceptions** you might have harbored? If so, describe the misconception(s) and the reason(s) why you now know them to be incorrect.

• Describe any useful **problem-solving strategies** applied in the text.

• **Devise a question** of your own to challenge a reader’s comprehension of the text.
GENERAL FOLLOW-UP CHALLENGES FOR ASSIGNED PROBLEMS

- Identify where any fundamental laws or principles apply to the solution of this problem, especially before applying any mathematical techniques.

- Devise a thought experiment to explore the characteristics of the problem scenario, applying known laws and principles to mentally model its behavior.

- Describe in detail your own strategy for solving this problem. How did you identify and organized the given information? Did you sketch any diagrams to help frame the problem?

- Is there more than one way to solve this problem? Which method seems best to you?

- Show the work you did in solving this problem, even if the solution is incomplete or incorrect.

- What would you say was the most challenging part of this problem, and why was it so?

- Was any important information missing from the problem which you had to research or recall?

- Was there any extraneous information presented within this problem? If so, what was it and why did it not matter?

- Examine someone else’s solution to identify where they applied fundamental laws or principles.

- Simplify the problem from its given form and show how to solve this simpler version of it. Examples include eliminating certain variables or conditions, altering values to simpler (usually whole) numbers, applying a limiting case (i.e. altering a variable to some extreme or ultimate value).

- For quantitative problems, identify the real-world meaning of all intermediate calculations: their units of measurement, where they fit into the scenario at hand. Annotate any diagrams or illustrations with these calculated values.

- For quantitative problems, try approaching it qualitatively instead, thinking in terms of “increase” and “decrease” rather than definite values.

- For qualitative problems, try approaching it quantitatively instead, proposing simple numerical values for the variables.

- Were there any assumptions you made while solving this problem? Would your solution change if one of those assumptions were altered?

- Identify where it would be easy for someone to go astray in attempting to solve this problem.

- Formulate your own problem based on what you learned solving this one.

GENERAL FOLLOW-UP CHALLENGES FOR EXPERIMENTS OR PROJECTS

- In what way(s) was this experiment or project easy to complete?

- Identify some of the challenges you faced in completing this experiment or project.
• Show how thorough documentation assisted in the completion of this experiment or project.
• Which fundamental laws or principles are key to this system’s function?
• Identify any way(s) in which one might obtain false or otherwise misleading measurements from test equipment in this system.
• What will happen if (component X) fails (open/shorted/etc.)?
• What would have to occur to make this system unsafe?
4.1 Conceptual reasoning

These questions are designed to stimulate your analytic and synthetic thinking\(^3\). In a Socratic discussion with your instructor, the goal is for these questions to prompt an extended dialogue where assumptions are revealed, conclusions are tested, and understanding is sharpened. Your instructor may also pose additional questions based on those assigned, in order to further probe and refine your conceptual understanding.

Questions that follow are presented to challenge and probe your understanding of various concepts presented in the tutorial. These questions are intended to serve as a guide for the Socratic dialogue between yourself and the instructor. Your instructor’s task is to ensure you have a sound grasp of these concepts, and the questions contained in this document are merely a means to this end. Your instructor may, at his or her discretion, alter or substitute questions for the benefit of tailoring the discussion to each student’s needs. The only absolute requirement is that each student is challenged and assessed at a level equal to or greater than that represented by the documented questions.

It is far more important that you convey your reasoning than it is to simply convey a correct answer. For this reason, you should refrain from researching other information sources to answer questions. What matters here is that you are doing the thinking. If the answer is incorrect, your instructor will work with you to correct it through proper reasoning. A correct answer without an adequate explanation of how you derived that answer is unacceptable, as it does not aid the learning or assessment process.

You will note a conspicuous lack of answers given for these conceptual questions. Unlike standard textbooks where answers to every other question are given somewhere toward the back of the book, here in these learning modules students must rely on other means to check their work. The best way by far is to debate the answers with fellow students and also with the instructor during the Socratic dialogue sessions intended to be used with these learning modules. Reasoning through challenging questions with other people is an excellent tool for developing strong reasoning skills.

Another means of checking your conceptual answers, where applicable, is to use circuit simulation software to explore the effects of changes made to circuits. For example, if one of these conceptual questions challenges you to predict the effects of altering some component parameter in a circuit, you may check the validity of your work by simulating that same parameter change within software and seeing if the results agree.

---

\(^3\)Analytical thinking involves the “disassembly” of an idea into its constituent parts, analogous to dissection. Synthetic thinking involves the “assembly” of a new idea comprised of multiple concepts, analogous to construction. Both activities are high-level cognitive skills, extremely important for effective problem-solving, necessitating frequent challenge and regular practice to fully develop.
Francis Bacon’s advice is a blueprint for effective education: reading provides the learner with knowledge, writing focuses the learner’s thoughts, and critical dialogue equips the learner to confidently communicate and apply their learning. Independent acquisition and application of knowledge is a powerful skill, well worth the effort to cultivate. To this end, students should read these educational resources closely, write their own outline and reflections on the reading, and discuss in detail their findings with classmates and instructor(s). You should be able to do all of the following after reading any instructional text:

- Briefly OUTLINE THE TEXT, as though you were writing a detailed Table of Contents. Feel free to rearrange the order if it makes more sense that way. Prepare to articulate these points in detail and to answer questions from your classmates and instructor. Outlining is a good self-test of thorough reading because you cannot outline what you have not read or do not comprehend.

- Demonstrate ACTIVE READING STRATEGIES, including verbalizing your impressions as you read, simplifying long passages to convey the same ideas using fewer words, annotating text and illustrations with your own interpretations, working through mathematical examples shown in the text, cross-referencing passages with relevant illustrations and/or other passages, identifying problem-solving strategies applied by the author, etc. Technical reading is a special case of problem-solving, and so these strategies work precisely because they help solve any problem: paying attention to your own thoughts (metacognition), eliminating unnecessary complexities, identifying what makes sense, paying close attention to details, drawing connections between separated facts, and noting the successful strategies of others.

- Identify IMPORTANT THEMES, especially GENERAL LAWS and PRINCIPLES, expounded in the text and express them in the simplest of terms as though you were teaching an intelligent child. This emphasizes connections between related topics and develops your ability to communicate complex ideas to anyone.

- Form YOUR OWN QUESTIONS based on the reading, and then pose them to your instructor and classmates for their consideration. Anticipate both correct and incorrect answers, the incorrect answer(s) assuming one or more plausible misconceptions. This helps you view the subject from different perspectives to grasp it more fully.

- Devise EXPERIMENTS to test claims presented in the reading, or to disprove misconceptions. Predict possible outcomes of these experiments, and evaluate their meanings: what result(s) would confirm, and what would constitute disproof? Running mental simulations and evaluating results is essential to scientific and diagnostic reasoning.

- Specifically identify any points you found CONFUSING. The reason for doing this is to help diagnose misconceptions and overcome barriers to learning.
4.1.2 Foundational concepts

Correct analysis and diagnosis of electric circuits begins with a proper understanding of some basic concepts. The following is a list of some important concepts referenced in this module’s full tutorial. Define each of them in your own words, and be prepared to illustrate each of these concepts with a description of a practical example and/or a live demonstration.

- Digital signal
- Logic state
- Sourcing
- Sinking
- CMOS logic
- TTL
- Clocking
- Open
- Short
- Kelvin four-wire method
- Latch
- Timing diagram
4.1.3 Logic pulser usage

A technician uses a logic pulser to force the logic state of the wire connecting two gates together:

Which gate, or gates, are we testing by placing the pulser in this position? What other instrument(s) would we have to connect to the circuit (and where?) to complete the test? Why does the logic pulser require a ground connection to do its job in this circuit?

Challenges

- What logic state should the other input of the NAND gate need to be in for this test to give a conclusive result? Explain why.
4.1.4 Reverse-engineering techniques

A variety of sophisticated tools exist for manufacturers to examine details inside of the integrated circuits of their competitors, in an effort to copy intellectual property and/or to document vulnerabilities. Once an IC has been “decapsulated” to expose its silicon die to inspection, the following techniques may be employed to read and write digital logic states:

- Emission microscopy (observing light emitted by transistor junctions)
- Laser light manipulation of bit states
- “Nanoprobing” signal lines

Explain how each of these techniques works.

**Challenges**

- Describe one practical example where a highly skilled group of engineers and technicians might be motivated to employ such techniques.
4.2 Quantitative reasoning

These questions are designed to stimulate your computational thinking. In a Socratic discussion with your instructor, the goal is for these questions to reveal your mathematical approach(es) to problem-solving so that good technique and sound reasoning may be reinforced. Your instructor may also pose additional questions based on those assigned, in order to observe your problem-solving firsthand.

Mental arithmetic and estimations are strongly encouraged for all calculations, because without these abilities you will be unable to readily detect errors caused by calculator misuse (e.g. keystroke errors).

You will note a conspicuous lack of answers given for these quantitative questions. Unlike standard textbooks where answers to every other question are given somewhere toward the back of the book, here in these learning modules students must rely on other means to check their work. My advice is to use circuit simulation software such as SPICE to check the correctness of quantitative answers. Refer to those learning modules within this collection focusing on SPICE to see worked examples which you may use directly as practice problems for your own study, and/or as templates you may modify to run your own analyses and generate your own practice problems.

Completely worked example problems found in the Tutorial may also serve as “test cases” for gaining proficiency in the use of circuit simulation software, and then once that proficiency is gained you will never need to rely on an answer key!

---

4In other words, set up the circuit simulation software to analyze the same circuit examples found in the Tutorial. If the simulated results match the answers shown in the Tutorial, it confirms the simulation has properly run. If the simulated results disagree with the Tutorial’s answers, something has been set up incorrectly in the simulation software. Using every Tutorial as practice in this way will quickly develop proficiency in the use of circuit simulation software.

5This approach is perfectly in keeping with the instructional philosophy of these learning modules: teaching students to be self-sufficient thinkers. Answer keys can be useful, but it is even more useful to your long-term success to have a set of tools on hand for checking your own work, because once you have left school and are on your own, there will no longer be “answer keys” available for the problems you will have to solve.
4.2. QUANTITATIVE REASONING

4.2.1 Miscellaneous physical constants

Note: constants shown in **bold** type are *exact*, not approximations. Values inside of parentheses show one standard deviation (\(\sigma\)) of uncertainty in the final digits: for example, Avogadro’s number given as 6.02214179(30) \(\times 10^{23}\) means the center value (6.02214179 \(\times 10^{23}\)) plus or minus 0.00000030 \(\times 10^{23}\).

Avogadro’s number \((N_A) = 6.02214179(30) \times 10^{23}\) per mole \((\text{mol}^{-1})\)

Boltzmann’s constant \((k) = 1.3806504(24) \times 10^{-23}\) Joules per Kelvin \((\text{J/K})\)

Electronic charge \((e) = 1.602176487(40) \times 10^{-19}\) Coulomb \((\text{C})\)

Faraday constant \((F) = 9.64853399(24) \times 10^{4}\) Coulombs per mole \((\text{C/mol})\)

Magnetic permeability of free space \((\mu_0) = 1.25663706212(19) \times 10^{-6}\) Henrys per meter \((\text{H/m})\)

Electric permittivity of free space \((\epsilon_0) = 8.8541878128(13) \times 10^{-12}\) Farads per meter \((\text{F/m})\)

Characteristic impedance of free space \((Z_0) = 376.730313668(57)\) Ohms \((\Omega)\)

Gravitational constant \((G) = 6.67428(67) \times 10^{-11}\) cubic meters per kilogram-seconds squared \((\text{m}^3/\text{kg-s}^2)\)

Molar gas constant \((R) = 8.314472(15)\) Joules per mole-Kelvin \((\text{J/mol-K}) = 0.08205746(14)\) liters-atmospheres per mole-Kelvin

Planck constant \((h) = 6.62606896(33) \times 10^{-34}\) joule-seconds \((\text{J-s})\)

Stefan-Boltzmann constant \((\sigma) = 5.670400(40) \times 10^{-8}\) Watts per square meter-Kelvin\(^4\) \((\text{W/m}^2\cdot\text{K}^4)\)

Speed of light in a vacuum \((c) = 299792458\) **meters per second** \((\text{m/s}) = 186282.4\) miles per second \((\text{mi/s})\)

Note: All constants taken from NIST data “Fundamental Physical Constants – Extensive Listing”, from [http://physics.nist.gov/constants](http://physics.nist.gov/constants), National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), 2006; with the exception of the permeability of free space which was taken from NIST’s 2018 CODATA recommended values database.
4.2.2 Introduction to spreadsheets

A powerful computational tool you are encouraged to use in your work is a spreadsheet. Available on most personal computers (e.g., Microsoft Excel), spreadsheet software performs numerical calculations based on number values and formulae entered into cells of a grid. This grid is typically arranged as lettered columns and numbered rows, with each cell of the grid identified by its column/row coordinates (e.g., cell B3, cell A8). Each cell may contain a string of text, a number value, or a mathematical formula. The spreadsheet automatically updates the results of all mathematical formulae whenever the entered number values are changed. This means it is possible to set up a spreadsheet to perform a series of calculations on entered data, and those calculations will be re-done by the computer any time the data points are edited in any way.

For example, the following spreadsheet calculates average speed based on entered values of distance traveled and time elapsed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Distance traveled</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>Kilometers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Time elapsed</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>Hours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Average speed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>km/h</td>
<td>= B1 / B2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Text labels contained in cells A1 through A3 and cells C1 through C3 exist solely for readability and are not involved in any calculations. Cell B1 contains a sample distance value while cell B2 contains a sample time value. The formula for computing speed is contained in cell B3. Note how this formula begins with an “equals” symbol (=), references the values for distance and speed by lettered column and numbered row coordinates (B1 and B2), and uses a forward slash symbol for division (/). The coordinates B1 and B2 function as variables would in an algebraic formula.

When this spreadsheet is executed, the numerical value 39.74576 will appear in cell B3 rather than the formula = B1 / B2, because 39.74576 is the computed speed value given 46.9 kilometers traveled over a period of 1.18 hours. If a different numerical value for distance is entered into cell B1 or a different value for time is entered into cell B2, cell B3’s value will automatically update. All you need to do is set up the given values and any formulae into the spreadsheet, and the computer will do all the calculations for you.

Cell B3 may be referenced by other formulae in the spreadsheet if desired, since it is a variable just like the given values contained in B1 and B2. This means it is possible to set up an entire chain of calculations, one dependent on the result of another, in order to arrive at a final value. The arrangement of the given data and formulae need not follow any pattern on the grid, which means you may place them anywhere.

---

\( ^6 \text{Spreadsheets may also provide means to attach text labels to cells for use as variable names (Microsoft Excel simply calls these labels “names”), but for simple spreadsheets such as those shown here it’s usually easier just to use the standard coordinate naming for each cell.} \)
Common arithmetic operations available for your use in a spreadsheet include the following:

- Addition (+)
- Subtraction (-)
- Multiplication (*)
- Division (/)
- Powers (^)
- Square roots (sqrt())
- Logarithms (ln() , log10())

Parentheses may be used to ensure proper order of operations within a complex formula. Consider this example of a spreadsheet implementing the quadratic formula, used to solve for roots of a polynomial expression in the form of \( ax^2 + bx + c \):

\[
x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( x_1 ) = (-B4 + sqrt((B4^2) - (4<em>B3</em>B5))) / (2*B3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( x_2 ) = (-B4 - sqrt((B4^2) - (4<em>B3</em>B5))) / (2*B3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( a = )</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( b = )</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>( c = )</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This example is configured to compute roots\(^9\) of the polynomial \( 9x^2 + 5x - 2 \) because the values of 9, 5, and -2 have been inserted into cells B3, B4, and B5, respectively. Once this spreadsheet has been built, though, it may be used to calculate the roots of any second-degree polynomial expression simply by entering the new a, b, and c coefficients into cells B3 through B5. The numerical values appearing in cells B1 and B2 will be automatically updated by the computer immediately following any changes made to the coefficients.

\(^7\)Modern spreadsheet software offers a bewildering array of mathematical functions you may use in your computations. I recommend you consult the documentation for your particular spreadsheet for information on operations other than those listed here.

\(^8\)Spreadsheet programs, like text-based programming languages, are designed to follow standard order of operations by default. However, my personal preference is to use parentheses even where strictly unnecessary just to make it clear to any other person viewing the formula what the intended order of operations is.

\(^9\)Reviewing some algebra here, a root is a value for \( x \) that yields an overall value of zero for the polynomial. For this polynomial \((9x^2 + 5x - 2)\) the two roots happen to be \( x = 0.269381 \) and \( x = -0.82494 \), with these values displayed in cells B1 and B2, respectively upon execution of the spreadsheet.
Alternatively, one could break up the long quadratic formula into smaller pieces like this:

\[ y = \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac} \quad z = 2a \]

\[ x = \frac{-b \pm y}{z} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>x_1</td>
<td>= (-B4 + C1) / C2</td>
<td>= sqrt((B4^2) - (4<em>B3</em>B5))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>x_2</td>
<td>= (-B4 - C1) / C2</td>
<td>= 2*B3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>= 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>= 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>= -2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note how the square-root term \((y)\) is calculated in cell \(C1\), and the denominator term \((z)\) in cell \(C2\). This makes the two final formulae (in cells \(B1\) and \(B2\)) simpler to interpret. The positioning of all these cells on the grid is completely arbitrary\(^{10}\) – all that matters is that they properly reference each other in the formulae.

Spreadsheets are particularly useful for situations where the same set of calculations representing a circuit or other system must be repeated for different initial conditions. The power of a spreadsheet is that it automates what would otherwise be a tedious set of calculations. One specific application of this is to simulate the effects of various components within a circuit failing with abnormal values (e.g. a shorted resistor simulated by making its value nearly zero; an open resistor simulated by making its value extremely large). Another application is analyzing the behavior of a circuit design given new components that are out of specification, and/or aging components experiencing drift over time.

\(^{10}\)My personal preference is to locate all the “given” data in the upper-left cells of the spreadsheet grid (each data point flanked by a sensible name in the cell to the left and units of measurement in the cell to the right as illustrated in the first distance/time spreadsheet example), sometimes coloring them in order to clearly distinguish which cells contain entered data versus which cells contain computed results from formulae. I like to place all formulae in cells below the given data, and try to arrange them in logical order so that anyone examining my spreadsheet will be able to figure out how I constructed a solution. This is a general principle I believe all computer programmers should follow: document and arrange your code to make it easy for other people to learn from it.
4.2.3 Simple logic probe circuit

A logic probe is a very useful tool for working with digital logic circuits. It indicates “high” and “low” logic states by means of LEDs, giving visual indication only if the voltage levels are appropriate for each state. The following schematic diagram shows a logic probe circuit built using comparators which are integrated circuit elements designed to compare two analog voltage signals (each with reference to ground) and drive its output pin either “high” or “low” depending on which of the sensed input voltages is larger:

Identify the purpose of the two potentiometers in this circuit.

When this logic probe circuit is connected to the $V_{CC}$ and $V_{EE}$ power supply terminals of a powered TTL circuit, what voltage levels should test points TP1 and TP2 be adjusted to, in order for the probe to properly indicate “high” and “low” TTL logic states?

When this logic probe circuit is connected to the $V_{DD}$ and $V_{SS}$ power supply terminals of a powered CMOS circuit operating on a 5 Volt DC power supply, what voltage levels should test points TP1 and TP2 be adjusted to, in order for the probe to properly indicate “high” and “low” CMOS logic states?

Challenges

- Write a formula for calculating appropriate current-limiting resistor sizes for the two LEDs in this circuit, given the value of $+V$ and the LED forward voltage and current values.

- The logic probe circuit shown is minimal in component count. To make a more practical and reliable probe, one would probably want to have reverse-polarity protection (in case someone
4.2.4 Pulldown resistor sizing

In the following schematic diagram, the pulldown resistor sizes are not shown:

![Schematic Diagram]

How high and how low could these resistors be sized and still provide their desired function? Specify any other circuit parameters we would need to know in order to make these determinations, and give a numerical example.

**Challenges**

- Identify a good diagnostic instrument to tell whether an installed pulldown resistor is properly sized.
4.3 Diagnostic reasoning

These questions are designed to stimulate your deductive and inductive thinking, where you must apply general principles to specific scenarios (deductive) and also derive conclusions about the failed circuit from specific details (inductive). In a Socratic discussion with your instructor, the goal is for these questions to reinforce your recall and use of general circuit principles and also challenge your ability to integrate multiple symptoms into a sensible explanation of what’s wrong in a circuit. Your instructor may also pose additional questions based on those assigned, in order to further challenge and sharpen your diagnostic abilities.

As always, your goal is to fully explain your analysis of each problem. Simply obtaining a correct answer is not good enough – you must also demonstrate sound reasoning in order to successfully complete the assignment. Your instructor’s responsibility is to probe and challenge your understanding of the relevant principles and analytical processes in order to ensure you have a strong foundation upon which to build further understanding.

You will note a conspicuous lack of answers given for these diagnostic questions. Unlike standard textbooks where answers to every other question are given somewhere toward the back of the book, here in these learning modules students must rely on other means to check their work. The best way by far is to debate the answers with fellow students and also with the instructor during the Socratic dialogue sessions intended to be used with these learning modules. Reasoning through challenging questions with other people is an excellent tool for developing strong reasoning skills.

Another means of checking your diagnostic answers, where applicable, is to use circuit simulation software to explore the effects of faults placed in circuits. For example, if one of these diagnostic questions requires that you predict the effect of an open or a short in a circuit, you may check the validity of your work by simulating that same fault (substituting a very high resistance in place of that component for an open, and substituting a very low resistance for a short) within software and seeing if the results agree.
4.3.1 Logic probe and pulser

A technician decides to check a suspect three-input AND gate using a logic pulser. She touches the logic pulser to each input of the AND gate, while looking for a pulsing signal at the output with a logic probe:

No matter which input test point (TP1, TP2, or TP3) she pulses, though, the output test point (TP4) always reads low. Does this prove the AND gate to be defective? Explain why or why not.

Challenges

- Suppose this were an OR gate rather than an AND gate. Would the same test result be conclusive or inconclusive?
4.3.2 Identifying possible faults

The output of the following gate circuit is always high, no matter what states the input switches are in. Assume that CMOS logic gates are being used here:

Identify which of these possibilities could account for the output always being high:

- Output of $U_1$ stuck in a high state
- Output of $U_2$ stuck in a high state
- $R_1$ failed open
- $R_2$ failed shorted
- $R_3$ failed shorted
- Switch A failed open
- Switch B failed shorted
- Switch C failed shorted

Challenges

- Identify other possible faults not shown on this list.
4.3.3 Input switch settings

The following gate circuit has a problem:

![Gate Circuit Diagram]

When tested, it is found that the circuit does not respond in the same manner as its (ideal) truth table predicts. Here is a comparison of the ideal and actual truth tables, as predicted and tested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Output (ideal)</th>
<th>Output (actual)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first thing a good electronics technician would do, of course, is set up either a voltmeter or a logic probe and begin testing for logic levels in the circuit to see what is wrong. However, the settings of the input switches are very important as part of the diagnosis. Based on the design of the circuit, and the truth table results shown, in what states (open or closed) would you first set the input switches, and then what logic level would you first test with the logic probe or voltmeter?

Based on the truth table data, what do you suspect is the fault?
4.3. DIAGNOSTIC REASONING

- Suppose you were asked to troubleshoot a simple electric lamp circuit using only a voltmeter. The problem is, the lamp does not energize when the switch is closed. Would it be best to take voltage measurements with the switch on or off?

4.3.4 Logic probe limitations

Logic probes are useful tools for troubleshooting digital logic gate circuits, but they certainly have limitations. For instance, in this simple circuit, a logic probe will give correct “high” and “low” readings at test point 1 (TP1), but it will always read “low” (even when the LED is on) at test point 2 (TP2):

![Circuit Diagram]

Now, obviously the output of the gate is “high” when the LED is on, otherwise it would not illuminate. Why then does a logic probe fail to indicate a high logic state at TP2?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • ???.
| • ???.
| • ???.
| • ???.
Appendix A

Problem-Solving Strategies

The ability to solve complex problems is arguably one of the most valuable skills one can possess, and this skill is particularly important in any science-based discipline.

- **Study principles, not procedures.** Don’t be satisfied with merely knowing how to compute solutions – learn *why* those solutions work.

- **Identify** what it is you need to solve, **identify** all relevant data, **identify** all units of measurement, **identify** any general principles or formulae linking the given information to the solution, and then **identify** any “missing pieces” to a solution. **Annotate** all diagrams with this data.

- **Sketch a diagram** to help visualize the problem. When building a real system, always devise a plan for that system and analyze its function *before* constructing it.

- **Follow the units of measurement and meaning of every calculation.** If you are ever performing mathematical calculations as part of a problem-solving procedure, and you find yourself unable to apply each and every intermediate result to some aspect of the problem, it means you don’t understand what you are doing. Properly done, every mathematical result should have practical meaning for the problem, and not just be an abstract number. You should be able to identify the proper units of measurement for each and every calculated result, and show where that result fits into the problem.

- **Perform “thought experiments”** to explore the effects of different conditions for theoretical problems. When troubleshooting real systems, perform *diagnostic tests* rather than visually inspecting for faults, the best diagnostic test being the one giving you the most information about the nature and/or location of the fault with the fewest steps.

- **Simplify the problem** until the solution becomes obvious, and then use that obvious case as a model to follow in solving the more complex version of the problem.

- **Check for exceptions** to see if your solution is incorrect or incomplete. A good solution will work for *all* known conditions and criteria. A good example of this is the process of testing scientific hypotheses: the task of a scientist is not to find support for a new idea, but rather to *challenge* that new idea to see if it holds up under a battery of tests. The philosophical
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principle of *reductio ad absurdum* (i.e. disproving a general idea by finding a specific case where it fails) is useful here.

- **Work “backward”** from a hypothetical solution to a new set of given conditions.

- **Add quantities** to problems that are qualitative in nature, because sometimes a little math helps illuminate the scenario.

- **Sketch graphs** illustrating how variables relate to each other. These may be quantitative (i.e. with realistic number values) or qualitative (i.e. simply showing increases and decreases).

- **Treat quantitative problems as qualitative** in order to discern the relative magnitudes and/or directions of change of the relevant variables. For example, try determining what happens if a certain variable were to increase or decrease before attempting to precisely calculate quantities: how will each of the dependent variables respond, by increasing, decreasing, or remaining the same as before?

- **Consider limiting cases.** This works especially well for qualitative problems where you need to determine which direction a variable will change. Take the given condition and magnify that condition to an extreme degree as a way of simplifying the direction of the system’s response.

- **Check your work.** This means regularly testing your conclusions to see if they make sense. This does *not* mean repeating the same steps originally used to obtain the conclusion(s), but rather to use some other means to check validity. Simply repeating procedures often leads to *repeating the same errors* if any were made, which is why alternative paths are better.
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Instructional philosophy

“The unexamined circuit is not worth energizing” – Socrates (if he had taught electricity)

These learning modules, although useful for self-study, were designed to be used in a formal learning environment where a subject-matter expert challenges students to digest the content and exercise their critical thinking abilities in the answering of questions and in the construction and testing of working circuits.

The following principles inform the instructional and assessment philosophies embodied in these learning modules:

- The first goal of education is to enhance clear and independent thought, in order that every student reach their fullest potential in a highly complex and inter-dependent world. Robust reasoning is always more important than particulars of any subject matter, because its application is universal.

- Literacy is fundamental to independent learning and thought because text continues to be the most efficient way to communicate complex ideas over space and time. Those who cannot read with ease are limited in their ability to acquire knowledge and perspective.

- Articulate communication is fundamental to work that is complex and interdisciplinary.

- Faulty assumptions and poor reasoning are best corrected through challenge, not presentation. The rhetorical technique of reductio ad absurdum (disproving an assertion by exposing an absurdity) works well to discipline student’s minds, not only to correct the problem at hand but also to learn how to detect and correct future errors.

- Important principles should be repeatedly explored and widely applied throughout a course of study, not only to reinforce their importance and help ensure their mastery, but also to showcase the interconnectedness and utility of knowledge.
These learning modules were expressly designed to be used in an “inverted” teaching environment1 where students first read the introductory and tutorial chapters on their own, then individually attempt to answer the questions and construct working circuits according to the experiment and project guidelines. The instructor never lectures, but instead meets regularly with each individual student to review their progress, answer questions, identify misconceptions, and challenge the student to new depths of understanding through further questioning. Regular meetings between instructor and student should resemble a Socratic2 dialogue, where questions serve as scalpels to dissect topics and expose assumptions. The student passes each module only after consistently demonstrating their ability to logically analyze and correctly apply all major concepts in each question or project/experiment. The instructor must be vigilant in probing each student’s understanding to ensure they are truly reasoning and not just memorizing. This is why “Challenge” points appear throughout, as prompts for students to think deeper about topics and as starting points for instructor queries. Sometimes these challenge points require additional knowledge that hasn’t been covered in the series to answer in full. This is okay, as the major purpose of the Challenges is to stimulate analysis and synthesis on the part of each student.

The instructor must possess enough mastery of the subject matter and awareness of students’ reasoning to generate their own follow-up questions to practically any student response. Even completely correct answers given by the student should be challenged by the instructor for the purpose of having students practice articulating their thoughts and defending their reasoning. Conceptual errors committed by the student should be exposed and corrected not by direct instruction, but rather by reducing the errors to an absurdity3 through well-chosen questions and thought experiments posed by the instructor. Becoming proficient at this style of instruction requires time and dedication, but the positive effects on critical thinking for both student and instructor are spectacular.

An inspection of these learning modules reveals certain unique characteristics. One of these is a bias toward thorough explanations in the tutorial chapters. Without a live instructor to explain concepts and applications to students, the text itself must fulfill this role. This philosophy results in lengthier explanations than what you might typically find in a textbook, each step of the reasoning process fully explained, including footnotes addressing common questions and concerns students raise while learning these concepts. Each tutorial seeks to not only explain each major concept in sufficient detail, but also to explain the logic of each concept and how each may be developed

---

1In a traditional teaching environment, students first encounter new information via lecture from an expert, and then independently apply that information via homework. In an “inverted” course of study, students first encounter new information via homework, and then independently apply that information under the scrutiny of an expert. The expert’s role in lecture is to simply explain, but the expert’s role in an inverted session is to challenge, critique, and if necessary explain where gaps in understanding still exist.

2Socrates is a figure in ancient Greek philosophy famous for his unflinching style of questioning. Although he authored no texts, he appears as a character in Plato’s many writings. The essence of Socratic philosophy is to leave no question unexamined and no point of view unchallenged. While purists may argue a topic such as electric circuits is too narrow for a true Socratic-style dialogue, I would argue that the essential thought processes involved with scientific reasoning on any topic are not far removed from the Socratic ideal, and that students of electricity and electronics would do very well to challenge assumptions, pose thought experiments, identify fallacies, and otherwise employ the arsenal of critical thinking skills modeled by Socrates.

3This rhetorical technique is known by the Latin phrase reductio ad absurdum. The concept is to expose errors by counter-example, since only one solid counter-example is necessary to disprove a universal claim. As an example of this, consider the common misconception among beginning students of electricity that voltage cannot exist without current. One way to apply reductio ad absurdum to this statement is to ask how much current passes through a fully-charged battery connected to nothing (i.e. a clear example of voltage existing without current).
from “first principles”. Again, this reflects the goal of developing clear and independent thought in students’ minds, by showing how clear and logical thought was used to forge each concept. Students benefit from witnessing a model of clear thinking in action, and these tutorials strive to be just that.

Another characteristic of these learning modules is a lack of step-by-step instructions in the Project and Experiment chapters. Unlike many modern workbooks and laboratory guides where step-by-step instructions are prescribed for each experiment, these modules take the approach that students must learn to closely read the tutorials and apply their own reasoning to identify the appropriate experimental steps. Sometimes these steps are plainly declared in the text, just not as a set of enumerated points. At other times certain steps are implied, an example being assumed competence in test equipment use where the student should not need to be told again how to use their multimeter because that was thoroughly explained in previous lessons. In some circumstances no steps are given at all, leaving the entire procedure up to the student.

This lack of prescription is not a flaw, but rather a feature. Close reading and clear thinking are foundational principles of this learning series, and in keeping with this philosophy all activities are designed to require those behaviors. Some students may find the lack of prescription frustrating, because it demands more from them than what their previous educational experiences required. This frustration should be interpreted as an unfamiliarity with autonomous thinking, a problem which must be corrected if the student is ever to become a self-directed learner and effective problem-solver. Ultimately, the need for students to read closely and think clearly is more important both in the near-term and far-term than any specific facet of the subject matter at hand. If a student takes longer than expected to complete a module because they are forced to outline, digest, and reason on their own, so be it. The future gains enjoyed by developing this mental discipline will be well worth the additional effort and delay.

Another feature of these learning modules is that they do not treat topics in isolation. Rather, important concepts are introduced early in the series, and appear repeatedly as stepping-stones toward other concepts in subsequent modules. This helps to avoid the “compartmentalization” of knowledge, demonstrating the inter-connectedness of concepts and simultaneously reinforcing them. Each module is fairly complete in itself, reserving the beginning of its tutorial to a review of foundational concepts.

This methodology of assigning text-based modules to students for digestion and then using Socratic dialogue to assess progress and hone students’ thinking was developed over a period of several years by the author with his Electronics and Instrumentation students at the two-year college level. While decidedly unconventional and sometimes even unsettling for students accustomed to a more passive lecture environment, this instructional philosophy has proven its ability to convey conceptual mastery, foster careful analysis, and enhance employability so much better than lecture that the author refuses to ever teach by lecture again.

Problems which often go undiagnosed in a lecture environment are laid bare in this “inverted” format where students must articulate and logically defend their reasoning. This, too, may be unsettling for students accustomed to lecture sessions where the instructor cannot tell for sure who comprehends and who does not, and this vulnerability necessitates sensitivity on the part of the “inverted” session instructor in order that students never feel discouraged by having their errors exposed. Everyone makes mistakes from time to time, and learning is a lifelong process! Part of the instructor’s job is to build a culture of learning among the students where errors are not seen as shameful, but rather as opportunities for progress.
To this end, instructors managing courses based on these modules should adhere to the following principles:

- Student questions are always welcome and demand thorough, honest answers. The only type of question an instructor should refuse to answer is one the student should be able to easily answer on their own. Remember, the fundamental goal of education is for each student to learn to think clearly and independently. This requires hard work on the part of the student, which no instructor should ever circumvent. Anything done to bypass the student’s responsibility to do that hard work ultimately limits that student’s potential and thereby does real harm.

- It is not only permissible, but encouraged, to answer a student’s question by asking questions in return, these follow-up questions designed to guide the student to reach a correct answer through their own reasoning.

- All student answers demand to be challenged by the instructor and/or by other students. This includes both correct and incorrect answers – the goal is to practice the articulation and defense of one’s own reasoning.

- No reading assignment is deemed complete unless and until the student demonstrates their ability to accurately summarize the major points in their own terms. Recitation of the original text is unacceptable. This is why every module contains an “Outline and reflections” question as well as a “Foundational concepts” question in the Conceptual reasoning section, to prompt reflective reading.

- No assigned question is deemed answered unless and until the student demonstrates their ability to consistently and correctly apply the concepts to variations of that question. This is why module questions typically contain multiple “Challenges” suggesting different applications of the concept(s) as well as variations on the same theme(s). Instructors are encouraged to devise as many of their own “Challenges” as they are able, in order to have a multitude of ways ready to probe students’ understanding.

- No assigned experiment or project is deemed complete unless and until the student demonstrates the task in action. If this cannot be done “live” before the instructor, video-recordings showing the demonstration are acceptable. All relevant safety precautions must be followed, all test equipment must be used correctly, and the student must be able to properly explain all results. The student must also successfully answer all Challenges presented by the instructor for that experiment or project.
Students learning from these modules would do well to abide by the following principles:

- No text should be considered fully and adequately read unless and until you can express every idea in your own words, using your own examples.

- You should always articulate your thoughts as you read the text, noting points of agreement, confusion, and epiphanies. Feel free to print the text on paper and then write your notes in the margins. Alternatively, keep a journal for your own reflections as you read. This is truly a helpful tool when digesting complicated concepts.

- Never take the easy path of highlighting or underlining important text. Instead, summarize and/or comment on the text using your own words. This actively engages your mind, allowing you to more clearly perceive points of confusion or misunderstanding on your own.

- A very helpful strategy when learning new concepts is to place yourself in the role of a teacher, if only as a mental exercise. Either explain what you have recently learned to someone else, or at least imagine yourself explaining what you have learned to someone else. The simple act of having to articulate new knowledge and skill forces you to take on a different perspective, and will help reveal weaknesses in your understanding.

- Perform each and every mathematical calculation and thought experiment shown in the text on your own, referring back to the text to see that your results agree. This may seem trivial and unnecessary, but it is critically important to ensuring you actually understand what is presented, especially when the concepts at hand are complicated and easy to misunderstand. Apply this same strategy to become proficient in the use of circuit simulation software, checking to see if your simulated results agree with the results shown in the text.

- Above all, recognize that learning is hard work, and that a certain level of frustration is unavoidable. There are times when you will struggle to grasp some of these concepts, and that struggle is a natural thing. Take heart that it will yield with persistent and varied effort, and never give up!

Students interested in using these modules for self-study will also find them beneficial, although the onus of responsibility for thoroughly reading and answering questions will of course lie with that individual alone. If a qualified instructor is not available to challenge students, a workable alternative is for students to form study groups where they challenge one another.

To high standards of education,

Tony R. Kuphaldt

---

As the old saying goes, “Insanity is trying the same thing over and over again, expecting different results.” If you find yourself stumped by something in the text, you should attempt a different approach. Alter the thought experiment, change the mathematical parameters, do whatever you can to see the problem in a slightly different light, and then the solution will often present itself more readily.

Avoid the temptation to simply share answers with study partners, as this is really counter-productive to learning. Always bear in mind that the answer to any question is far less important in the long run than the method(s) used to obtain that answer. The goal of education is to empower one’s life through the improvement of clear and independent thought, literacy, expression, and various practical skills.
Appendix C

Tools used

I am indebted to the developers of many open-source software applications in the creation of these learning modules. The following is a list of these applications with some commentary on each.

You will notice a theme common to many of these applications: a bias toward code. Although I am by no means an expert programmer in any computer language, I understand and appreciate the flexibility offered by code-based applications where the user (you) enters commands into a plain ASCII text file, which the software then reads and processes to create the final output. Code-based computer applications are by their very nature extensible, while WYSIWYG (What You See Is What You Get) applications are generally limited to whatever user interface the developer makes for you.

The GNU/Linux computer operating system

There is so much to be said about Linus Torvalds’ Linux and Richard Stallman’s GNU project. First, to credit just these two individuals is to fail to do justice to the mob of passionate volunteers who contributed to make this amazing software a reality. I first learned of Linux back in 1996, and have been using this operating system on my personal computers almost exclusively since then. It is free, it is completely configurable, and it permits the continued use of highly efficient Unix applications and scripting languages (e.g. shell scripts, Makefiles, sed, awk) developed over many decades. Linux not only provided me with a powerful computing platform, but its open design served to inspire my life’s work of creating open-source educational resources.

Bram Moolenaar’s Vim text editor

Writing code for any code-based computer application requires a text editor, which may be thought of as a word processor strictly limited to outputting plain-ASCII text files. Many good text editors exist, and one’s choice of text editor seems to be a deeply personal matter within the programming world. I prefer Vim because it operates very similarly to vi which is ubiquitous on Unix/Linux operating systems, and because it may be entirely operated via keyboard (i.e. no mouse required) which makes it fast to use.
Donald Knuth’s \TeX\ typesetting system

Developed in the late 1970’s and early 1980’s by computer scientist extraordinaire Donald Knuth to typeset his multi-volume magnum opus *The Art of Computer Programming*, this software allows the production of formatted text for screen-viewing or paper printing, all by writing plain-text code to describe how the formatted text is supposed to appear. \TeX\ is not just a markup language for documents, but it is also a Turing-complete programming language in and of itself, allowing useful algorithms to be created to control the production of documents. Simply put, \TeX\ is a programmer’s approach to word processing. Since \TeX\ is controlled by code written in a plain-text file, this means anyone may read that plain-text file to see exactly how the document was created. This openness afforded by the code-based nature of \TeX\ makes it relatively easy to learn how other people have created their own \TeX\ documents. By contrast, examining a beautiful document created in a conventional WYSIWYG word processor such as Microsoft \textit{Word} suggests nothing to the reader about how that document was created, or what the user might do to create something similar. As Mr. Knuth himself once quipped, conventional word processing applications should be called WYSIAYG (What You See Is All You Get).

Leslie Lamport’s \LaTeX\ extensions to \TeX\

Like all true programming languages, \TeX\ is inherently extensible. So, years after the release of \TeX\ to the public, Leslie Lamport decided to create a massive extension allowing easier compilation of book-length documents. The result was \LaTeX, which is the markup language used to create all ModEL module documents. You could say that \TeX\ is to \LaTeX\ as C is to C++. This means it is permissible to use any and all \TeX\ commands within \LaTeX\ source code, and it all still works. Some of the features offered by \LaTeX\ that would be challenging to implement in \TeX\ include automatic index and table-of-content creation.

Tim Edwards’ \textit{Xcircuit} drafting program

This wonderful program is what I use to create all the schematic diagrams and illustrations (but not photographic images or mathematical plots) throughout the ModEL project. It natively outputs PostScript format which is a true vector graphic format (this is why the images do not pixellate when you zoom in for a closer view), and it is so simple to use that I have never had to read the manual! Object libraries are easy to create for \textit{Xcircuit}, being plain-text files using PostScript programming conventions. Over the years I have collected a large set of object libraries useful for drawing electrical and electronic schematics, pictorial diagrams, and other technical illustrations.
**Gimp** graphic image manipulation program

Essentially an open-source clone of Adobe’s **PhotoShop**, I use **Gimp** to resize, crop, and convert file formats for all of the photographic images appearing in the ModEL modules. Although **Gimp** does offer its own scripting language (called **Script-Fu**), I have never had occasion to use it. Thus, my utilization of **Gimp** to merely crop, resize, and convert graphic images is akin to using a sword to slice bread.

**SPICE** circuit simulation program

**SPICE** is to circuit analysis as **T\TeX** is to document creation: it is a form of markup language designed to describe a certain object to be processed in plain-ASCII text. When the plain-text “source file” is compiled by the software, it outputs the final result. More modern circuit analysis tools certainly exist, but I prefer **SPICE** for the following reasons: it is **free**, it is **fast**, it is **reliable**, and it is a fantastic tool for teaching students of electricity and electronics how to write simple code. I happen to use rather old versions of **SPICE**, version 2g6 being my “go to” application when I only require text-based output. **NGSPICE** (version 26), which is based on Berkeley **SPICE** version 3f5, is used when I require graphical output for such things as time-domain waveforms and Bode plots. In all **SPICE** example netlists I strive to use coding conventions compatible with all **SPICE** versions.

Andrew D. Hwang’s **ePiX** mathematical visualization programming library

This amazing project is a **C++** library you may link to any **C/C++** code for the purpose of generating PostScript graphic images of mathematical functions. As a completely free and open-source project, it does all the plotting I would otherwise use a Computer Algebra System (CAS) such as **Mathematica** or **Maple** to do. It should be said that **ePiX** is **not** a Computer Algebra System like **Mathematica** or **Maple**, but merely a mathematical visualization tool. In other words, it won’t determine integrals for you (you’ll have to implement that in your own **C/C++** code!), but it can graph the results, and it does so beautifully. What I really admire about **ePiX** is that it is a **C++** programming library, which means it builds on the existing power and toolset available with that programming language. Mr. Hwang could have probably developed his own stand-alone application for mathematical plotting, but by creating a **C++** library to do the same thing he accomplished something much greater.
\textbf{gnuplot} mathematical visualization software

Another open-source tool for mathematical visualization is \textbf{gnuplot}. Interestingly, this tool is \textit{not} part of Richard Stallman’s GNU project, its name being a coincidence. For this reason the authors prefer “gnu” \textit{not} be capitalized at all to avoid confusion. This is a much “lighter-weight” alternative to a spreadsheet for plotting tabular data, and the fact that it easily outputs directly to an X11 console or a file in a number of different graphical formats (including PostScript) is very helpful. I typically set my \textbf{gnuplot} output format to default (X11 on my Linux PC) for quick viewing while I’m developing a visualization, then switch to PostScript file export once the visual is ready to include in the document(s) I’m writing. As with my use of \textbf{Gimp} to do rudimentary image editing, my use of \textbf{gnuplot} only scratches the surface of its capabilities, but the important points are that it’s \textit{free} and that it \textit{works well}.

\textbf{Python} programming language

Both Python and C++ find extensive use in these modules as instructional aids and exercises, but I’m listing Python here as a \textit{tool} for myself because I use it almost daily as a \textit{calculator}. If you open a Python interpreter console and type \texttt{from math import *} you can type mathematical expressions and have it return results just as you would on a hand calculator. Complex-number (i.e. \textit{phasor}) arithmetic is similarly supported if you include the complex-math library (\texttt{from cmath import *}). Examples of this are shown in the Programming References chapter (if included) in each module. Of course, being a fully-featured programming language, Python also supports conditionals, loops, and other structures useful for calculation of quantities. Also, running in a console environment where all entries and returned values show as text in a chronologically-ordered list makes it easy to copy-and-paste those calculations to document exactly how they were performed.
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Creative Commons License

Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License

By exercising the Licensed Rights (defined below), You accept and agree to be bound by the terms and conditions of this Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (“Public License”). To the extent this Public License may be interpreted as a contract, You are granted the Licensed Rights in consideration of Your acceptance of these terms and conditions, and the Licensor grants You such rights in consideration of benefits the Licensor receives from making the Licensed Material available under these terms and conditions.

Section 1 – Definitions.

a. Adapted Material means material subject to Copyright and Similar Rights that is derived from or based upon the Licensed Material and in which the Licensed Material is translated, altered, arranged, transformed, or otherwise modified in a manner requiring permission under the Copyright and Similar Rights held by the Licensor. For purposes of this Public License, where the Licensed Material is a musical work, performance, or sound recording, Adapted Material is always produced where the Licensed Material is synched in timed relation with a moving image.

b. Adapter’s License means the license You apply to Your Copyright and Similar Rights in Your contributions to Adapted Material in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Public License.

c. Copyright and Similar Rights means copyright and/or similar rights closely related to copyright including, without limitation, performance, broadcast, sound recording, and Sui Generis Database Rights, without regard to how the rights are labeled or categorized. For purposes of this Public License, the rights specified in Section 2(b)(1)-(2) are not Copyright and Similar Rights.

d. Effective Technological Measures means those measures that, in the absence of proper authority, may not be circumvented under laws fulfilling obligations under Article 11 of the WIPO Copyright Treaty adopted on December 20, 1996, and/or similar international agreements.

e. Exceptions and Limitations means fair use, fair dealing, and/or any other exception or
Section 2 – Scope.

a. License grant.

1. Subject to the terms and conditions of this Public License, the Licensor hereby grants You a worldwide, royalty-free, non-sublicensable, non-exclusive, irrevocable license to exercise the Licensed Rights in the Licensed Material to:

   A. reproduce and Share the Licensed Material, in whole or in part; and
   
   B. produce, reproduce, and Share Adapted Material.

2. Exceptions and Limitations. For the avoidance of doubt, where Exceptions and Limitations apply to Your use, this Public License does not apply, and You do not need to comply with its terms and conditions.

3. Term. The term of this Public License is specified in Section 6(a).

4. Media and formats; technical modifications allowed. The Licensor authorizes You to exercise the Licensed Rights in all media and formats whether now known or hereafter created, and to make technical modifications necessary to do so. The Licensor waives and/or agrees not to assert any right or authority to forbid You from making technical modifications necessary to exercise the Licensed Rights, including technical modifications necessary to circumvent Effective Technological Measures.
For purposes of this Public License, simply making modifications authorized by this Section 2(a)(4) never produces Adapted Material.

5. Downstream recipients.

A. Offer from the Licensor – Licensed Material. Every recipient of the Licensed Material automatically receives an offer from the Licensor to exercise the Licensed Rights under the terms and conditions of this Public License.

B. No downstream restrictions. You may not offer or impose any additional or different terms or conditions on, or apply any Effective Technological Measures to, the Licensed Material if doing so restricts exercise of the Licensed Rights by any recipient of the Licensed Material.

6. No endorsement. Nothing in this Public License constitutes or may be construed as permission to assert or imply that You are, or that Your use of the Licensed Material is, connected with, or sponsored, endorsed, or granted official status by, the Licensor or others designated to receive attribution as provided in Section 3(a)(1)(A)(i).

b. Other rights.

1. Moral rights, such as the right of integrity, are not licensed under this Public License, nor are publicity, privacy, and/or other similar personality rights; however, to the extent possible, the Licensor waives and/or agrees not to assert any such rights held by the Licensor to the limited extent necessary to allow You to exercise the Licensed Rights, but not otherwise.

2. Patent and trademark rights are not licensed under this Public License.

3. To the extent possible, the Licensor waives any right to collect royalties from You for the exercise of the Licensed Rights, whether directly or through a collecting society under any voluntary or waivable statutory or compulsory licensing scheme. In all other cases the Licensor expressly reserves any right to collect such royalties.

Section 3 – License Conditions.

Your exercise of the Licensed Rights is expressly made subject to the following conditions.

a. Attribution.

1. If You Share the Licensed Material (including in modified form), You must:

A. retain the following if it is supplied by the Licensor with the Licensed Material:

i. identification of the creator(s) of the Licensed Material and any others designated to receive attribution, in any reasonable manner requested by the Licensor (including by pseudonym if designated);

ii. a copyright notice;
iii. a notice that refers to this Public License;

iv. a notice that refers to the disclaimer of warranties;

v. a URI or hyperlink to the Licensed Material to the extent reasonably practicable;

B. indicate if You modified the Licensed Material and retain an indication of any previous modifications; and

C. indicate the Licensed Material is licensed under this Public License, and include the text of, or the URI or hyperlink to, this Public License.

2. You may satisfy the conditions in Section 3(a)(1) in any reasonable manner based on the medium, means, and context in which You Share the Licensed Material. For example, it may be reasonable to satisfy the conditions by providing a URI or hyperlink to a resource that includes the required information.

3. If requested by the Licensor, You must remove any of the information required by Section 3(a)(1)(A) to the extent reasonably practicable.

4. If You Share Adapted Material You produce, the Adapter’s License You apply must not prevent recipients of the Adapted Material from complying with this Public License.

Section 4 – Sui Generis Database Rights.

Where the Licensed Rights include Sui Generis Database Rights that apply to Your use of the Licensed Material:

a. for the avoidance of doubt, Section 2(a)(1) grants You the right to extract, reuse, reproduce, and Share all or a substantial portion of the contents of the database;

b. if You include all or a substantial portion of the database contents in a database in which You have Sui Generis Database Rights, then the database in which You have Sui Generis Database Rights (but not its individual contents) is Adapted Material; and

c. You must comply with the conditions in Section 3(a) if You Share all or a substantial portion of the contents of the database.

For the avoidance of doubt, this Section 4 supplements and does not replace Your obligations under this Public License where the Licensed Rights include other Copyright and Similar Rights.

Section 5 – Disclaimer of Warranties and Limitation of Liability.

a. Unless otherwise separately undertaken by the Licensor, to the extent possible, the Licensor offers the Licensed Material as-is and as-available, and makes no representations or warranties of any kind concerning the Licensed Material, whether express, implied, statutory, or other. This includes, without limitation, warranties of title, merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, non-infringement, absence of latent or other defects, accuracy, or the presence or absence of errors,
whether or not known or discoverable. Where disclaimers of warranties are not allowed in full or in part, this disclaimer may not apply to You.

b. To the extent possible, in no event will the Licensor be liable to You on any legal theory (including, without limitation, negligence) or otherwise for any direct, special, indirect, incidental, consequential, punitive, exemplary, or other losses, costs, expenses, or damages arising out of this Public License or use of the Licensed Material, even if the Licensor has been advised of the possibility of such losses, costs, expenses, or damages. Where a limitation of liability is not allowed in full or in part, this limitation may not apply to You.

c. The disclaimer of warranties and limitation of liability provided above shall be interpreted in a manner that, to the extent possible, most closely approximates an absolute disclaimer and waiver of all liability.

Section 6 – Term and Termination.

a. This Public License applies for the term of the Copyright and Similar Rights licensed here. However, if You fail to comply with this Public License, then Your rights under this Public License terminate automatically.

b. Where Your right to use the Licensed Material has terminated under Section 6(a), it reinstates:

1. automatically as of the date the violation is cured, provided it is cured within 30 days of Your discovery of the violation; or

2. upon express reinstatement by the Licensor.

For the avoidance of doubt, this Section 6(b) does not affect any right the Licensor may have to seek remedies for Your violations of this Public License.

c. For the avoidance of doubt, the Licensor may also offer the Licensed Material under separate terms or conditions or stop distributing the Licensed Material at any time; however, doing so will not terminate this Public License.

d. Sections 1, 5, 6, 7, and 8 survive termination of this Public License.

Section 7 – Other Terms and Conditions.

a. The Licensor shall not be bound by any additional or different terms or conditions communicated by You unless expressly agreed.

b. Any arrangements, understandings, or agreements regarding the Licensed Material not stated herein are separate from and independent of the terms and conditions of this Public License.

Section 8 – Interpretation.

a. For the avoidance of doubt, this Public License does not, and shall not be interpreted to, reduce, limit, restrict, or impose conditions on any use of the Licensed Material that could lawfully
be made without permission under this Public License.

b. To the extent possible, if any provision of this Public License is deemed unenforceable, it shall be automatically reformed to the minimum extent necessary to make it enforceable. If the provision cannot be reformed, it shall be severed from this Public License without affecting the enforceability of the remaining terms and conditions.

c. No term or condition of this Public License will be waived and no failure to comply consented to unless expressly agreed to by the Licensor.

d. Nothing in this Public License constitutes or may be interpreted as a limitation upon, or waiver of, any privileges and immunities that apply to the Licensor or You, including from the legal processes of any jurisdiction or authority.
Creative Commons is not a party to its public licenses. Notwithstanding, Creative Commons may elect to apply one of its public licenses to material it publishes and in those instances will be considered the “Licensor.” Except for the limited purpose of indicating that material is shared under a Creative Commons public license or as otherwise permitted by the Creative Commons policies published at creativecommons.org/policies, Creative Commons does not authorize the use of the trademark “Creative Commons” or any other trademark or logo of Creative Commons without its prior written consent including, without limitation, in connection with any unauthorized modifications to any of its public licenses or any other arrangements, understandings, or agreements concerning use of licensed material. For the avoidance of doubt, this paragraph does not form part of the public licenses.

Creative Commons may be contacted at creativecommons.org.
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