

T/DL PROGRAM CHANGE ROUTING SLIPPCR/PCN # SL 033

ANOMALY # _____

ADR # _____

- COLOSSUS 3 LUMINARY 1E
 COLOSSUS LUMINARY
 SKYLARK _____

- MIT Approved PCN
 MIT Approved ADR

- NASA Approved PCR
 NASA Approved PCN

- NASA Approved Software Anomaly
 MIT Approved Software Anomaly

A. Coding

- Begin coding immediately

ACTION: _____

Program Supervisor's Approval: _____

Maryann Hamilton

- Do not code until new GSOP material has been approved by the MIT Mission Design Review Board (MDRB) and distributed.

B. GSOP Preparation

- Prepare GSOP revisions for MDRB consideration

J. Klawasnik/E. Olson

ACTION: _____

- Technical Committee Meeting not required.

- Technical Committee Meeting(s) held on _____
Attendees: _____

C. KSC Testing and Checkout

- Review for possible impact on KSC testing and checkout

ACTION: _____

D. Other Programs Affected

- Review for corresponding changes in _____

ACTION: _____

Special Instructions

Project Manager

Date

Stephen L. Loft1/28/71

**APOLLO SPACECRAFT SOFTWARE CONFIGURATION CONTROL BOARD
PROGRAM CHANGE REQUEST**

NUMBER (Completed by FSB)

SL 033

1.0 COMPLETED BY ORIGINATOR

1.1 ORIGINATOR	DATE	1.2 ORGANIZATION	APPROVAL	DATE
B. F. Cockrell	7/29/70	FM4/MPB	R. Nobles	8/3/70
1.3 EFFECTIVITY		1.4 TITLE OF CHANGE		
Skylab CMC		Fixed Coordinate System for Skylab		

1.5 REASON(S) FOR CHANGE

The orientation of the current coordinate system for Apollo is the mean equinox and pole at the beginning of the nearest Besselian year. This system requires a yearly
 (continued on next page)

1.6 DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE

The choice of the fixed orientation of the coordinate system most commonly used is the mean location of the earth equator at 1950. Currently ephemeris tapes from JPL
 (continued on next page)

**2.0 SOFTWARE CONTROL BOARD OR FLIGHT SOFTWARE BRANCH
DECISION FOR VISIBILITY IMPACT ESTIMATE BY MIT**

2.1	<input type="checkbox"/> APPROVED	<input type="checkbox"/> DISAPPROVED	2.2 REMARKS:
2.3 SOFTWARE CONTROL BOARD OR FLIGHT SOFTWARE BRANCH SIGN OFF			
DATE			

3.0 MIT VISIBILITY IMPACT EVALUATION:

3.1 SCHEDULE IMPACT	3.2 IMPACT OF PROVIDING DETAILED EVALUATION
3.3 STORAGE IMPACT	3.4 REMARKS:
3.5 MIT COORDINATOR	
S. L. Copperwax	
DATE	
11/5/70	

4.0 SOFTWARE CONTROL BOARD ACTION

4.1	<input type="checkbox"/> IMPLEMENT AND PROVIDE DETAILED CHANGE EVAL.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PROVIDE DETAILED CHANGE EVALUATION	<input type="checkbox"/> DIS- APPROVED	4.2 REMARKS
4.3 SOFTWARE CONTROL BOARD SIGN OFF				
DATE				
8-13-70				

5.0 MIT DETAILED PROGRAM CHANGE EVALUATION

5.1 MIT COORDINATOR	5.2 MIT EVALUATION
DATE	

**6.0 SOFTWARE CONTROL BOARD DECISION ON MIT
DETAILED PROGRAM CHANGE EVALUATION**

6.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> START OR CONTINUE IMPLEMENTATION	<input type="checkbox"/> DISAPPROVED OR STOP IMPLEMENTATION	6.2 REMARKS
6.3 SOFTWARE CONTROL BOARD SIGN OFF			
DATE			
11-5-70			

**APOLLO SPACECRAFT SOFTWARE CONFIGURATION CONTROL BOARD
DATA AMPLIFICATION SHEET**

PAGE 2 OF 2

PROGRAM CHANGE REQUEST NO.	PREPARED BY	DATE	ORGANIZATION
<u>S1033</u>	B. F. Cockrell	<u>7/29/70</u>	FM4/MPB

CONTINUATION SECTION. (Refer to Block Number and Title on Program Change Request form.)

1.5 Reason(s) for Change, continued.

redefinition at July 1.0, of the coordinate system and, in turn, the fixed memory constants. For Skylab, a fixed system is required to avoid the use of several coordinate systems for each mission and the regeneration of fixed memory constants for each launch. The use of a fixed system simplifies the generation of RTCC coordinate transformation data and mission operations.

1.6 Description of Change, continued.

used by Apollo are converted from mean of 1950 to NBY. The recommendation is to use the mean equator of 1950 as the basic CMC and RTCC coordinate system. The predictor should be changed to compute oblateness acceleration in the true of date system and should convert it to the basic 1950 system for integration.

REMARKS: